

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/846,703	IMANISHI, SHOZO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Pilkington	3682	

All Participants:

(1) James Pilkington.

(2) Jennifer Ying.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 4

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An examiner's amendment to claim 4 to correct for a 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph problem was discussed


Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



RICHARD RIDLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)